

Introduction to Gelfand Theory

Junekey Jeon

ETRI

June 18, 2018

Introduction

- Functional analysis: study of infinite-dimensional vector spaces using both *algebraic methods* and the notion of *limits*.

Introduction

- Functional analysis: study of infinite-dimensional vector spaces using both *algebraic methods* and the notion of *limits*.
- Some of those spaces are **not just vector spaces**

Introduction

- Functional analysis: study of infinite-dimensional vector spaces using both *algebraic methods* and the notion of *limits*.
- Some of those spaces are **not just vector spaces**
 - They are *algebras*

Introduction

- Functional analysis: study of infinite-dimensional vector spaces using both *algebraic methods* and the notion of *limits*.
- Some of those spaces are **not just vector spaces**
 - They are *algebras*
- Studying algebras is radically different from studying vector spaces

Introduction

- Functional analysis: study of infinite-dimensional vector spaces using both *algebraic methods* and the notion of *limits*.
- Some of those spaces are **not just vector spaces**
 - They are *algebras*
- Studying algebras is radically different from studying vector spaces
 - *Rings vs Abelian groups*

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- ① *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- ① *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space
- ② *Operator algebras*, with composition

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space
- 2 *Operator algebras*, with composition
 - Algebra of bounded linear operators on a Banach space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- ① *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space
- ② *Operator algebras*, with composition
 - Algebra of bounded linear operators on a Banach space
 - Algebra of compact linear operators on a Banach space

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space
- 2 *Operator algebras*, with composition
 - Algebra of bounded linear operators on a Banach space
 - Algebra of compact linear operators on a Banach space
- 3 *Group algebras*, with convolution

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space
- 2 *Operator algebras*, with composition
 - Algebra of bounded linear operators on a Banach space
 - Algebra of compact linear operators on a Banach space
- 3 *Group algebras*, with convolution
 - $L^1(G)$, for a locally compact group G

Three Main Classes of Infinite-Dimensional Algebras

- 1 *Function algebras*, with pointwise multiplication
 - Algebra of bounded functions on a set
 - Algebra of bounded continuous functions on a topological space
 - Algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on a uniform space
 - Algebra of bounded measurable functions on a measurable space
 - L^∞ -space on a measure space
 - Algebra of bounded Lipschitz functions on a metric space
- 2 *Operator algebras*, with composition
 - Algebra of bounded linear operators on a Banach space
 - Algebra of compact linear operators on a Banach space
- 3 *Group algebras*, with convolution
 - $L^1(G)$, for a locally compact group G
 - $M(G)$, algebra of complex regular Borel measures on G

Applications

- Spectral theorem and functional calculus
- Operator semigroup theory and its applications to PDE
- Abstract harmonic analysis
- Ergodic theory
- Quantum physics
- And more...

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”
 - The operator $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ can have the norm different from $\|a\|$

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”
 - The operator $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ can have the norm different from $\|a\|$
 - Interesting examples w/o the identity:

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”
 - The operator $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ can have the norm different from $\|a\|$
 - Interesting examples w/o the identity:
 - $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, where X : non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”
 - The operator $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ can have the norm different from $\|a\|$
 - Interesting examples w/o the identity:
 - $C_0(X)$, where X : non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space
 - Algebra of compact operators on E , where E : infinite-dimensional Banach space

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”
 - The operator $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ can have the norm different from $\|a\|$
 - Interesting examples w/o the identity:
 - $C_0(X)$, where X : non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space
 - Algebra of compact operators on E , where E : infinite-dimensional Banach space
 - $L^1(G)$, where G : non-discrete locally compact group

Definition

Definition 2.1 (Banach algebra)

An algebra A over \mathbb{C} endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *Banach algebra*, if it is a Banach space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$.

- Let us assume every Banach algebra has the *identity* with $\|1\| = 1$.
 - Banach algebras w/o the identity can be “bad”
 - The operator $L_a : x \mapsto ax$ can have the norm different from $\|a\|$
 - Interesting examples w/o the identity:
 - $C_0(X)$, where X : non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space
 - Algebra of compact operators on E , where E : infinite-dimensional Banach space
 - $L^1(G)$, where G : non-discrete locally compact group
 - Approximate identity: a net $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \in D} xe_\alpha = \lim_{\alpha \in D} e_\alpha x = x$ for all $x \in A$

Homomorphism

Proposition 2.2

*A continuous homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ between Banach algebras must be **short**; that is, $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$.*

Homomorphism

Proposition 2.2

*A continuous homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ between Banach algebras must be **short**; that is, $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$.*

Proposition 2.3

Every homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from a Banach algebra A into \mathbb{C} must be continuous.

Homomorphism

Proposition 2.2

A continuous homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ between Banach algebras must be **short**; that is, $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.3

Every homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from a Banach algebra A into \mathbb{C} must be continuous.

- Algebraic structure and topological structure interoperate tightly!

Spectrum and Invertibility

Proposition 2.4

For $a \in A$, if there exist $b, c \in A$ with $ab = ca = 1$, then a is invertible and $a^{-1} = b = c$.

Spectrum and Invertibility

Proposition 2.4

For $a \in A$, if there exist $b, c \in A$ with $ab = ca = 1$, then a is invertible and $a^{-1} = b = c$.

Proposition 2.5 (Neumann's lemma)

If $\|a\| < 1$, then $1 - a$ is invertible and $(1 - a)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n$.

Spectrum and Invertibility

Proposition 2.4

For $a \in A$, if there exist $b, c \in A$ with $ab = ca = 1$, then a is invertible and $a^{-1} = b = c$.

Proposition 2.5 (Neumann's lemma)

If $\|a\| < 1$, then $1 - a$ is invertible and $(1 - a)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n$.

Proposition 2.6

A^\times is an open set.

Spectrum and Invertibility

Definition 2.7 (Spectrum)

For $a \in A$, the *spectrum* of a is defined as

$$\sigma(a) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \notin A^\times \}$$

Spectrum and Invertibility

Definition 2.7 (Spectrum)

For $a \in A$, the *spectrum* of a is defined as

$$\sigma(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \notin A^\times\}$$

Corollary 2.8

$\sigma(a)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} .

Spectrum and Invertibility

Definition 2.7 (Spectrum)

For $a \in A$, the *spectrum* of a is defined as

$$\sigma(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda 1 \notin A^\times\}$$

Corollary 2.8

$\sigma(a)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} .

- $\sigma(a)$ encodes many useful information about a

Spectrum and Invertibility

- For $\lambda \notin \sigma(a)$, $R_a(\lambda) := (a - \lambda 1)^{-1}$ is called the *resolvent function* of a

Spectrum and Invertibility

- For $\lambda \notin \sigma(a)$, $R_a(\lambda) := (a - \lambda 1)^{-1}$ is called the *resolvent function* of a
- After some complex analysis,

Spectrum and Invertibility

- For $\lambda \notin \sigma(a)$, $R_a(\lambda) := (a - \lambda 1)^{-1}$ is called the *resolvent function* of a
- After some complex analysis,
 - $\sigma(a)$ is not empty (by Liouville's theorem)

Spectrum and Invertibility

- For $\lambda \notin \sigma(a)$, $R_a(\lambda) := (a - \lambda 1)^{-1}$ is called the *resolvent function* of a
- After some complex analysis,
 - $\sigma(a)$ is not empty (by Liouville's theorem)
 - (*Spectral radius formula*)

$$|a|_\sigma := \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(a) \} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}$$

(by Cauchy's integral formula)

Gelfand-Mazur Theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Gelfand-Mazur)

A Banach algebra A which is a division ring must be isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

Gelfand-Mazur Theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Gelfand-Mazur)

A Banach algebra A which is a division ring must be isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

Corollary 3.2

Assume A is a commutative unital Banach algebra. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between maximal ideals of A and nontrivial homomorphisms into \mathbb{C} .

Gelfand-Mazur Theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Gelfand-Mazur)

A Banach algebra A which is a division ring must be isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{C} .

Corollary 3.2

Assume A is a commutative unital Banach algebra. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between maximal ideals of A and nontrivial homomorphisms into \mathbb{C} .

Definition 3.3 (Spectrum)

Let us denote the set of all nontrivial homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\text{Spec}A$ and call it the *spectrum* of A .

Gelfand Transform

- Assume A is commutative from now on

Gelfand Transform

- Assume A is commutative from now on
- An intuition: *commutative* Banach algebras are *function* algebras, while *noncommutative* Banach algebras are *operator* algebras

Gelfand Transform

- Assume A is commutative from now on
- An intuition: *commutative* Banach algebras are *function* algebras, while *noncommutative* Banach algebras are *operator* algebras
- Functions on which set?

Gelfand Transform

- Assume A is commutative from now on
- An intuition: *commutative* Banach algebras are *function* algebras, while *noncommutative* Banach algebras are *operator* algebras
- Functions on which set?
 - Point evaluation is a homomorphism into \mathbb{C}

Gelfand Transform

- Assume A is commutative from now on
- An intuition: *commutative* Banach algebras are *function* algebras, while *noncommutative* Banach algebras are *operator* algebras
- Functions on which set?
 - Point evaluation is a homomorphism into \mathbb{C}
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is a good candidate!

Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.4

$\text{Spec}A$ endowed with the weak- topology is a compact Hausdorff space.*

Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.4

$\text{Spec}A$ endowed with the weak- topology is a compact Hausdorff space.*

- Each element $a \in A$ defines a continuous function $\hat{a} : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as evaluation

Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.4

$\text{Spec}A$ endowed with the weak-* topology is a compact Hausdorff space.

- Each element $a \in A$ defines a continuous function $\hat{a} : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as evaluation

Definition 3.5 (Gelfand transform)

The mapping $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is called the *Gelfand transform*.

Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.4

$\text{Spec}A$ endowed with the weak-* topology is a compact Hausdorff space.

- Each element $a \in A$ defines a continuous function $\hat{a} : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as evaluation

Definition 3.5 (Gelfand transform)

The mapping $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is called the *Gelfand transform*.

- In general, the Gelfand transform is not injective nor surjective

Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.4

$\text{Spec}A$ endowed with the weak- topology is a compact Hausdorff space.*

- Each element $a \in A$ defines a continuous function $\hat{a} : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as evaluation

Definition 3.5 (Gelfand transform)

The mapping $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is called the *Gelfand transform*.

- In general, the Gelfand transform is not injective nor surjective
- The Gelfand transform is short, but not isometry in general

Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.4

$\text{Spec}A$ endowed with the weak-* topology is a compact Hausdorff space.

- Each element $a \in A$ defines a continuous function $\hat{a} : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as evaluation

Definition 3.5 (Gelfand transform)

The mapping $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is called the *Gelfand transform*.

- In general, the Gelfand transform is not injective nor surjective
- The Gelfand transform is short, but not isometry in general
- The Gelfand transform is injective iff A is semisimple

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is some kind of *compactification* of X

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is some kind of *compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}C_b(X)$ is the *Stone-Ćech compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}U_b(X)$ is the *Samuel compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}Lip(X)$ is the *Lipschitz compactification* of X

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is some kind of *compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}C_b(X)$ is the *Stone-Ćech compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}U_b(X)$ is the *Samuel compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}Lip(X)$ is the *Lipschitz compactification* of X
- Let $A = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is some kind of *compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}C_b(X)$ is the *Stone-Ćech compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}U_b(X)$ is the *Samuel compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}Lip(X)$ is the *Lipschitz compactification* of X
- Let $A = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$
 - There is a bijection $\text{Spec}A \cong S^1$

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is some kind of *compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}C_b(X)$ is the *Stone-Ćech compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}U_b(X)$ is the *Samuel compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}Lip(X)$ is the *Lipschitz compactification* of X
- Let $A = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$
 - There is a bijection $\text{Spec}A \cong S^1$
 - The above bijection is in fact a homeomorphism

Examples

- Let A be an algebra of some “well-behaved” functions on a set X
 - Point evaluations are elements of $\text{Spec}A$
 - There are more elements in $\text{Spec}A$ in general!
 - $\text{Spec}A$ is some kind of *compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}C_b(X)$ is the *Stone-Ćech compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}U_b(X)$ is the *Samuel compactification* of X
 - $\text{Spec}Lip(X)$ is the *Lipschitz compactification* of X
- Let $A = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$
 - There is a bijection $\text{Spec}A \cong S^1$
 - The above bijection is in fact a homeomorphism
 - The Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(S^1)$ is the *Fourier transform* on \mathbb{Z}

Spectrum and Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.6

An element $a \in A$ is invertible if and only if so is $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$.

Spectrum and Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.6

An element $a \in A$ is invertible if and only if so is $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Trivial; note that $\hat{1}(\varphi) = \varphi(1) = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$.

Spectrum and Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.6

An element $a \in A$ is invertible if and only if so is $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Trivial; note that $\hat{1}(\varphi) = \varphi(1) = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$.

(\Leftarrow) If $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$, then a does not belong to any maximal ideal in A , so $(a) = A$. □

Spectrum and Gelfand Transform

Proposition 3.6

An element $a \in A$ is invertible if and only if so is $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) Trivial; note that $\hat{1}(\varphi) = \varphi(1) = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$.

(\Leftarrow) If $\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$, then a does not belong to any maximal ideal in A , so $(a) = A$. \square

Corollary 3.7

The spectrum $\sigma(a)$ is precisely the range of the function $\hat{a} : \text{Spec}A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $|a|_{\sigma} = \|\hat{a}\|_{\infty}$.

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then a subalgebra A of $C(X)$ is uniformly dense in $C(X)$ if the following conditions hold:

- 1 A is unital; that is, A contains the constant function 1,
- 2 A is a $*$ -subalgebra; that is, A is closed under the pointwise complex conjugation, and
- 3 A separates points in X .

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then a subalgebra A of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is uniformly dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ if the following conditions hold:

- 1 A is unital; that is, A contains the constant function 1,
 - 2 A is a $*$ -subalgebra; that is, A is closed under the pointwise complex conjugation, and
 - 3 A separates points in X .
- The image of the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then a subalgebra A of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is uniformly dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ if the following conditions hold:

- ① A is unital; that is, A contains the constant function 1,
 - ② A is a $*$ -subalgebra; that is, A is closed under the pointwise complex conjugation, and
 - ③ A separates points in X .
- The image of the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$
 - is unital, since $\hat{1}(\varphi) = \varphi(1) = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then a subalgebra A of $C(X)$ is uniformly dense in $C(X)$ if the following conditions hold:

- ① A is unital; that is, A contains the constant function 1,
 - ② A is a $*$ -subalgebra; that is, A is closed under the pointwise complex conjugation, and
 - ③ A separates points in X .
- The image of the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow C(\text{Spec}A)$
 - is unital, since $\hat{1}(\varphi) = \varphi(1) = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$
 - separates points in $\text{Spec}A$, by definition of $\text{Spec}A$

C^* -algebra

Definition 4.2 (C^* -algebra)

A Banach algebra A endowed with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -algebra, if:

- 1 $*$ is antilinear; that is, $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \bar{\alpha}x^* + \bar{\beta}y^*$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $x, y \in A$.
- 2 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for all $x, y \in A$.
- 3 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in A$.

C^* -algebra

Definition 4.2 (C^* -algebra)

A Banach algebra A endowed with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -algebra, if:

- 1 $*$ is antilinear; that is, $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \bar{\alpha}x^* + \bar{\beta}y^*$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $x, y \in A$.
- 2 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for all $x, y \in A$.
- 3 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in A$.

- Most of function algebras w/ uniform norm are C^* -algebras

C^* -algebra

Definition 4.2 (C^* -algebra)

A Banach algebra A endowed with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -algebra, if:

- 1 $*$ is antilinear; that is, $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \bar{\alpha}x^* + \bar{\beta}y^*$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $x, y \in A$.
- 2 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for all $x, y \in A$.
- 3 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in A$.

- Most of function algebras w/ uniform norm are C^* -algebras
- The algebra $L(E)$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space E is a C^* -algebra

C^* -algebra

Definition 4.2 (C^* -algebra)

A Banach algebra A endowed with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -algebra, if:

- 1 $*$ is antilinear; that is, $(\alpha x + \beta y)^* = \bar{\alpha}x^* + \bar{\beta}y^*$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $x, y \in A$.
- 2 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for all $x, y \in A$.
- 3 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in A$.

- Most of function algebras w/ uniform norm are C^* -algebras
- The algebra $L(E)$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space E is a C^* -algebra
- The algebra $L^1(G)$ nor $M(G)$ are not C^* -algebras in general

Self-adjoint and Normal Elements

Definition 4.3

An element $x \in A$ is said to be *self-adjoint* if $x^* = x$, and is called *normal* if $x^*x = xx^*$.

Self-adjoint and Normal Elements

Definition 4.3

An element $x \in A$ is said to be *self-adjoint* if $x^* = x$, and is called *normal* if $x^*x = xx^*$.

Proposition 4.4

If x is normal, then $\|x\| = |x|_\sigma = \|\hat{x}\|_\infty$.

Self-adjoint and Normal Elements

Definition 4.3

An element $x \in A$ is said to be *self-adjoint* if $x^* = x$, and is called *normal* if $x^*x = xx^*$.

Proposition 4.4

If x is normal, then $\|x\| = |x|_\sigma = \|\hat{x}\|_\infty$.

Proof.

When x is self-adjoint, use the spectral radius formula.

Self-adjoint and Normal Elements

Definition 4.3

An element $x \in A$ is said to be *self-adjoint* if $x^* = x$, and is called *normal* if $x^*x = xx^*$.

Proposition 4.4

If x is normal, then $\|x\| = |x|_\sigma = \|\hat{x}\|_\infty$.

Proof.

When x is self-adjoint, use the spectral radius formula.
 For the general case,

$$\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\| = |x^*x|_\sigma \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} |x|_\sigma^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

where (a) follows from the spectral radius formula. □

$*$ -Homomorphisms

Definition 4.5

A $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras A, B is a homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ preserving the involution.

$*$ -Homomorphisms

Definition 4.5

A $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras A, B is a homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ preserving the involution.

Proposition 4.6

Every $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, if A is a C^* -algebra.

$*$ -Homomorphisms

Definition 4.5

A $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras A, B is a homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ preserving the involution.

Proposition 4.6

Every $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, if A is a C^* -algebra.

Proof.

Suffices to show that self-adjoint elements become real numbers.

$*$ -Homomorphisms

Definition 4.5

A $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras A, B is a homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ preserving the involution.

Proposition 4.6

Every $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, if A is a C^* -algebra.

Proof.

Suffices to show that self-adjoint elements become real numbers.
(1) Estimate using \exp .

$*$ -Homomorphisms

Definition 4.5

A $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras A, B is a homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ preserving the involution.

Proposition 4.6

Every $\varphi \in \text{Spec}A$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, if A is a C^* -algebra.

Proof.

Suffices to show that self-adjoint elements become real numbers.

- (1) Estimate using \exp .
- (2) Estimate using square. □

Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Corollary 4.7 (Gelfand-Naimark)

For a commutative unital C^ -algebra A , the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.*

Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Corollary 4.7 (Gelfand-Naimark)

For a commutative unital C^ -algebra A , the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.*

Proof.

Isometry: every element is normal

Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Corollary 4.7 (Gelfand-Naimark)

For a commutative unital C^ -algebra A , the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.*

Proof.

Isometry: every element is normal

Dense: Stone-Weierstrass theorem

Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Corollary 4.7 (Gelfand-Naimark)

For a commutative unital C^ -algebra A , the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.*

Proof.

Isometry: every element is normal

Dense: Stone-Weierstrass theorem

Surjectivity follows from the completeness. □

Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Corollary 4.7 (Gelfand-Naimark)

For a commutative unital C^ -algebra A , the Gelfand transform $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Spec}A)$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.*

Proof.

Isometry: every element is normal

Dense: Stone-Weierstrass theorem

Surjectivity follows from the completeness. □

- $\text{Spec}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\cdot)$ are adjoint pairs
 - (category of commutative unital C^* -algebras) \cong (category of compact Hausdorff spaces)

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$
- The smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of A containing a , say B , is itself a C^* -algebra

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$
- The smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of A containing a , say B , is itself a C^* -algebra
- (B is commutative) \Leftrightarrow (a is normal)

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$
- The smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of A containing a , say B , is itself a C^* -algebra
- (B is commutative) \Leftrightarrow (a is normal)

Theorem 5.1

When a is normal, there is a natural homeomorphism $\text{Spec} B \cong \sigma(a)$

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$
- The smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of A containing a , say B , is itself a C^* -algebra
- (B is commutative) \Leftrightarrow (a is normal)

Theorem 5.1

When a is normal, there is a natural homeomorphism $\text{Spec}B \cong \sigma(a)$

Proof.

Define $\Phi : \text{Spec}B \rightarrow \sigma(a)$ as $\Phi : \varphi \mapsto \hat{a}(\varphi)$.

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$
- The smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of A containing a , say B , is itself a C^* -algebra
- (B is commutative) \Leftrightarrow (a is normal)

Theorem 5.1

When a is normal, there is a natural homeomorphism $\text{Spec}B \cong \sigma(a)$

Proof.

Define $\Phi : \text{Spec}B \rightarrow \sigma(a)$ as $\Phi : \varphi \mapsto \hat{a}(\varphi)$.

Injective: if $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$, then $\varphi = \psi$.

Functional Calculus

- Suppose A is a C^* -algebra and $a \in A$
- The smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of A containing a , say B , is itself a C^* -algebra
- (B is commutative) \Leftrightarrow (a is normal)

Theorem 5.1

When a is normal, there is a natural homeomorphism $\text{Spec}B \cong \sigma(a)$

Proof.

Define $\Phi : \text{Spec}B \rightarrow \sigma(a)$ as $\Phi : \varphi \mapsto \hat{a}(\varphi)$.

Injective: if $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$, then $\varphi = \psi$.

Surjective: the spectrum taken inside B is exactly $\sigma(a)$.

(Nontrivial)



Functional Calculus

Corollary 5.2 (Functional calculus theorem)

When a is normal, there is a natural isometric $$ -isomorphism $B \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$, given by $a \mapsto (\lambda \mapsto \lambda)$. Under this isomorphism, we have $\sigma(f(a)) = f[\sigma(a)]$ for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$.*

Functional Calculus

Corollary 5.2 (Functional calculus theorem)

When a is normal, there is a natural isometric $$ -isomorphism $B \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$, given by $a \mapsto (\lambda \mapsto \lambda)$. Under this isomorphism, we have $\sigma(f(a)) = f[\sigma(a)]$ for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$.*

- The polynomial $p(\lambda) = c_n \lambda^n + \cdots + c_0$ corresponds to the element $p(a) = c_n a^n + \cdots + c_0$ in A

Functional Calculus

Corollary 5.2 (Functional calculus theorem)

When a is normal, there is a natural isometric $$ -isomorphism $B \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$, given by $a \mapsto (\lambda \mapsto \lambda)$. Under this isomorphism, we have $\sigma(f(a)) = f[\sigma(a)]$ for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$.*

- The polynomial $p(\lambda) = c_n \lambda^n + \cdots + c_0$ corresponds to the element $p(a) = c_n a^n + \cdots + c_0$ in A
- By Stone-Weierstrass theorem, $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(a))$ is the set of functions on $\sigma(a)$ that can be uniformly approximated by polynomials in λ and $\bar{\lambda}$.

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- E : Hilbert space, T : bounded normal operator on E

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- E : Hilbert space, T : bounded normal operator on E
- B : the smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of $L(E)$ containing T

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- E : Hilbert space, T : bounded normal operator on E
- B : the smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of $L(E)$ containing T
- For given $u, v \in E$, $A \mapsto \langle u, Av \rangle$ is a continuous linear functional on $B \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(T))$

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- E : Hilbert space, T : bounded normal operator on E
- B : the smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of $L(E)$ containing T
- For given $u, v \in E$, $A \mapsto \langle u, Av \rangle$ is a continuous linear functional on $B \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(T))$
- By Riesz representation theorem, such a functional can be represented as a complex regular Borel measure $\rho_{u,v}$ on $\sigma(T)$

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- E : Hilbert space, T : bounded normal operator on E
- B : the smallest closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of $L(E)$ containing T
- For given $u, v \in E$, $A \mapsto \langle u, Av \rangle$ is a continuous linear functional on $B \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(T))$
- By Riesz representation theorem, such a functional can be represented as a complex regular Borel measure $\rho_{u,v}$ on $\sigma(T)$
- Define $\rho : \mathcal{B}(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow L(E)$ as $\langle u, \rho(G)v \rangle := \rho_{u,v}(G)$, then

$$\langle u, f(T)v \rangle = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) \langle u, d\rho(\lambda)v \rangle$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(T))$

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- Claim: each $\rho(G)$ is self-adjoint

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- Claim: each $\rho(G)$ is self-adjoint
- If f : nonnegative, then $\langle u, f(T)u \rangle = \|\sqrt{f}(T)u\|^2 \geq 0$, so $\rho_{u,u}$ is a positive measure

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- Claim: each $\rho(G)$ is self-adjoint
- If f : nonnegative, then $\langle u, f(T)u \rangle = \|\sqrt{f}(T)u\|^2 \geq 0$, so $\rho_{u,u}$ is a positive measure
- Then the claim follows from polarization identity

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- Claim: each $\rho(G)$ is self-adjoint
- If f : nonnegative, then $\langle u, f(T)u \rangle = \|\sqrt{f}(T)u\|^2 \geq 0$, so $\rho_{u,u}$ is a positive measure
- Then the claim follows from polarization identity
- Claim: each $\rho(K)$, K : compact, is a projection

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- Claim: each $\rho(G)$ is self-adjoint
- If f : nonnegative, then $\langle u, f(T)u \rangle = \|\sqrt{f}(T)u\|^2 \geq 0$, so $\rho_{u,u}$ is a positive measure
- Then the claim follows from polarization identity
- Claim: each $\rho(K)$, K : compact, is a projection
- By regularity,

$$\rho_{u,v}(K) = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \int_{\sigma(A)} f(\lambda) d\rho_{u,v}(\lambda) = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, f(T)v \rangle,$$

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- Claim: each $\rho(G)$ is self-adjoint
- If f : nonnegative, then $\langle u, f(T)u \rangle = \|\sqrt{f}(T)u\|^2 \geq 0$, so $\rho_{u,u}$ is a positive measure
- Then the claim follows from polarization identity
- Claim: each $\rho(K)$, K : compact, is a projection
- By regularity,

$$\rho_{u,v}(K) = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \int_{\sigma(A)} f(\lambda) d\rho_{u,v}(\lambda) = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, f(T)v \rangle,$$

$$\langle u, \rho(K)^2 v \rangle = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, \rho(K) f(T) v \rangle = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T) f(T) v \rangle$$

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- For given f , $(gf)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$ is a subnet of $(g)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$, so

$$\lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)f(T)v \rangle = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)v \rangle = \langle u, \rho(K)v \rangle$$

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- For given f , $(gf)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$ is a subnet of $(g)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$, so

$$\lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)f(T)v \rangle = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)v \rangle = \langle u, \rho(K)v \rangle$$

- Using a similar argument \rightarrow each $\rho(G)$ is a projection

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- For given f , $(gf)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$ is a subnet of $(g)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$, so

$$\lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)f(T)v \rangle = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)v \rangle = \langle u, \rho(K)v \rangle$$

- Using a similar argument \rightarrow each $\rho(G)$ is a projection
- Hence, ρ is a projection-valued measure

Application: Spectral Theorem for Bounded Normal Operators

- For given f , $(gf)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$ is a subnet of $(g)_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K}$, so

$$\lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)f(T)v \rangle = \lim_{f \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \lim_{g \downarrow \mathbb{1}_K} \langle u, g(T)v \rangle = \langle u, \rho(K)v \rangle$$

- Using a similar argument \rightarrow each $\rho(G)$ is a projection
- Hence, ρ is a projection-valued measure

Theorem 5.3 (Spectral theorem for bounded normal operators)

If T is a bounded normal operator on a Hilbert space E , then there uniquely exists a projection-valued regular Borel measure ρ_T on $\sigma(T)$ such that

$$\langle u, f(T)v \rangle = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) \langle u, d\rho_T(\lambda)v \rangle$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(T))$ and $u, v \in E$.